

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/560,341	04/28/2000	Shahram Tousi	8409-000030	3654
29293	7590 02/11/2004		EXAMINER	
FREUDENBERG-NOK GENERAL PARTNERSHIP			MORROW, JASON S	
	TUAL PROPERTY DEPT	•	4271217	
47690 EAST	ANCHOR COURT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PLYMOUTE	H, MI 48170-2455		3612	
			DATE MAIT ED-02/11/2004	

DATE MAILED: 02/1/200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,x		09/560,341	TOUSI ET AL.			
Office Act	ion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jason S. Morrow	3612			
The MAILING Deriod for Reply	PATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the second	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply cified above, the maximum statutory period w t or extended period for reply will, by statute, flice later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to o	communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is F		- action is non-final.				
3) Since this appli	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>21,22,</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	<u>24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification	n is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) t	D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/9/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
-		ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob caminer. Note the attached Office				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
a) All b) Son 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of application	me * c) None of: copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents f the certified copies of the prior on from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cite		4) Interview Summary				
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	eater Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/560,341

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

There is no brief description of figure 5.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Killworth et al.

Re claim 21, Killworth discloses an isolation mount and an automotive subframe assembly comprising an automotive subframe having a through hole (46), and an isolation mounting including an upper mount (151), a lower mount (156), and a fastener (78), the upper mount including a thimble member (168) and an elastomeric annular portion (153), the thimble member including an axially extending tubular portion (164), an axially extending portion (154) extending from the elastomeric annular portion, the axially extending portion being received in the hole of the subframe, the axially extending tubular portion of the thimble member extending through the axially extending portion of the elastomeric annular portion, an insert (162) disposed

Application/Control Number: 09/560,341

Art Unit: 3612

in the elastomeric annular portion and the insert including an axially extending tube portion have a length that is approximately equal to a length of the hole in the subframe.

Re claim 25, Killworth discloses an isolation mount for an automotive subframe having a through hole (46), the isolation mount comprising an upper mount (151), a lower mount (156), and a fastener (78), wherein the upper mount includes a thimble member (168) and an elastomeric annular portion (153), the thimble member including an axially extending tubular portion (164), the annular portion including an axially extending portion that is received in the hole of the subframe, the axially extending tubular portion of the thimble member extending through the axially extending portion of the annular portion, an insert (162) disposed in the annular portion and the axially extending portion the insert including an axially extending tube portion having a length that is approximately equal to a length of the hole in the subframe.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killworth et al. in view of Johnson et al.

Killworth et al. discloses all the limitations of the claim, as applied above, except for the elastomeric annular portion of the upper mount being formed from microcellular polyurethane.

Application/Control Number: 09/560,341

Art Unit: 3612

Johnson et al. teaches the use of microcellular polyurethane as a suitable elastomer (column 2, lines 64-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify an isolation mount, such as that disclosed above, to construct the elastomeric annular portion of the upper mount from microcellular polyurethane, as taught by Johnson et al., in order to use a foamed elastomer that con simplify assembly of components.

6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killworth et al.

Killworth discloses some of the limitations of the claim, as applied above, and also the tube portion of the insert being elliptical in cross-section.

Killworth does not disclose a diameter of the tube portion being greatest adjacent an axis of a minor diameter that corresponds to a lateral direction of the automotive subframe and is thinnest near an axis of a major diameter that corresponds to a fore and aft direction of the automotive subframe.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the an isolation mount, such as that above, to have the tube portion being greatest adjacent an axis of a minor diameter that corresponds to a lateral direction of the automotive subframe and is thinnest near an axis of a major diameter that corresponds to a fore and aft direction of the automotive subframe, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3612

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (703) 305-7803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason S. Morrow Examiner

Art Unit 3612

February 6, 2004

ASON MORROW TENT EXAMINER